REMARKS

Entry of the foregoing, reexamination and reconsideration of the subject application

are respectfully requested in light of the amendments above and the comments which

follow.

As correctly noted in the Office Action Summary, claims 22-53 were pending. By

the present response, claims 22-30, 47-49, 51 and 53 have been canceled, and claim 45 has

been amended. Thus, upon entry of the present response, claims 31-46, 50 and 52 remain

pending and await further consideration on the merits.

Support for the foregoing amendments can be found at least at the following

locations in the original disclosure: the original claims.

CLAIM OBJECTION

Claim 45 stands objected to under 37 C.F.R. §1.75(c) on the grounds indicated on

page 2 of the Official Action. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 39, from which claim 45 depends, is directed to a method "consisting of"

steps (a)-(c). Claim 45, which depends from claim 39, specifies additional details with

regard to step (a).

Contrary to the assertions contained on page 2 of the Official Action, there is

nothing inconsistent about a dependent claim which provides further details with regard to

one of the method steps recited in a closed "consisting of" claim. Thus, the objection is

improper.

Attorney's Docket No. <u>004900-148</u>

Application No. <u>08/765,901</u>

Page 14

In order to advance prosecution, and avoid any question in this regard, claim 45 has

been rewritten in independent form. Thus, any remaining concerns should be obviated.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102/§103

Claims 22-30, 47, 48, 49, 51 and 53 stand rejected over *Ito et al*, under either 35

U.S.C. §102(b) and/or 35 U.S.C. §103, on the grounds set forth on page 2 of the Official

Action.

By the present response, the above-listed claims have been canceled. Thus, the

above-noted grounds for rejection has been rendered moot.

CONCLUSION

From the foregoing, further and favorable action in the form of a Notice of

Allowance is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner feel that any issues remain, it is

requested that the undersigned be contacted so that any such issues may be adequately

addressed and prosecution of the instant application expedited.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Date: November 5, 2003

Scott W. Cummings

Registration No. 41,567

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620